



Johns Hopkins University Grant Programs consist of three philanthropic programs created specifically for Hopkins innovators whose work has progressed beyond the basic research phase and are developing innovations and discoveries for specific **commercial applications**. The awards are intended to provide seed funding for vital proof-of-concept and validation studies that clearly confirm endpoints that indicate de-risking of a specific commercial opportunity. Examples of work that is appropriate for this funding include in-vivo testing, prototype design and fabrication, pre-clinical testing, verification testing, market research, and regulatory and reimbursement consulting. Many applicants find it helpful to participate in one of the I-Corps short course sessions offered by JHTV as this enables applicants to better identify their customers, develop value propositions, and realistically estimate the size of their commercial opportunities.

This guide is provided to assist applicants in understanding the application sections and how they are scored and evaluated. Key attributes of successful submissions include:

- Proposed research that relates directly to a specific identified market need
- Intellectual property that is clearly described and defensible
- Objectives that are quantifiable, market relevant, indicative of commercial potential, and viable within the nine-month award time period and within the associated budget
- A clearly stated business case, including path to market and end user adoption mechanisms
- Clear demonstration of the development path of the technology and how the award will fund work that leads to the next important milestone

All applications are reviewed by a committee comprised of relevant industry members as well as faculty and staff. Evaluation of proposals is on the basis of innovation and scientific merit, prospective market impact, technical feasibility, and commercialization potential. Each section of the application is scored along the following criteria:

<b>SCORE</b>	<b>CRITERION</b>
5 – Excellent	The applicant has included <b>all</b> of the required information and has made a <b>very convincing</b> argument in support of the criterion being scored.
4 – Above Average	The applicant has included <b>all</b> of the required information and has made a <b>reasonable</b> argument in support of the criterion being scored.
3 – Good	The applicant has included <b>most</b> of the required information and has made a <b>fair</b> argument in support of the criterion being scored.
2 – Fair	The applicant has provided <b>most</b> of the required information but has <b>not made a fair</b> argument in support of the criterion being scored.
1 – Poor	The applicant has <b>not</b> provided enough of the required information to make a fair argument in support of the criterion being scored.

Below are points to consider in completing each section of the application. As a general rule, simple and direct responses are best. Graphics, charts, and tables are often useful and should be directly referenced. Successful applications demonstrate in a concise manner how the funds have a meaningful impact on commercialization advancement of their technology. As a reminder, your font size should be a minimum of 10, and your margins should be a minimum of 0.5 inches.

## 1. TECHNOLOGY DESCRIPTION, STATUS, AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

- The description should focus on how the Technology is unique/novel in its approach to solve an important commercial problem relative to other approaches in the scientific literature and/or other commercial products. Is the project accurately and well described? How is the project unique or a significant advancement? Does the project solve a large problem or unmet need?
- Describe the status of the Technology's development including the studies completed, data generated, and the conclusions derived for an audience of business professionals who have a high level of understanding in the field.
- Any preliminary data or other results suggesting that the Technology is likely to work as predicted should be included. Is the data meaningful or indicative of potential success? Why?
- List and describe the intellectual property or Report(s) of Invention involved in the project **including the filing and approval status** and an explanation of in what way(s) is the intellectual property strong (if filed) or likely protectable when filed.

## 2. APPLICATION OF TECHNOLOGY AS A PRODUCT AND COMMERCIAL MARKET ASSESSMENT

- The purpose of this requirement is to demonstrate that you have thought through how your work translates to a specific customer and application and to have a basic but realistic assessment of your commercial market opportunity. A sophisticated market analysis is not required.
- Describe potential commercial products or services that could be based on the technology. Is the commercial opportunity large or impactful?
- Describe how these products will solve a problem in the market and the overall importance of solving that problem.
- Include a description of the customer who will buy the product or service and a brief summary of the size of the market opportunity that these customers represent. Is there a clearly identified application and customer? Market opportunities identified should be realistic in terms of the likely number of potential users and the price that will be paid for the specific product or service.
- Include a description of the value proposition (ideally quantified) that these products will bring to customers – specific customer problem solved or need satisfied such as cost savings, time savings, convenience, improved outcomes, etc. Is the value proposition compelling? To whom? Why?
- Outline a general description of the technology's competitive advantages over competing products and services. If possible, include a table, picture or other graphic that compares key features of your product with competing products that are either on the market or in development. Are these advantages significant? Ordered by importance to the customer?

## 3. COMMERCIALIZATION PATHWAY AND RISK ASSESSMENT

- This purpose of this section is to describe the major milestones needed to move your technology from its current state to a commercial product. This includes milestones beyond the nine-month funding period covered by the grant. In the next section you will provide

detail on the milestones covered in the nine months of the grant and their significance to the commercialization path.

- Provide an overview of the overall steps/milestones needed to commercialize the Technology (beyond the funding) including how long it will take and how much it will cost to achieve key milestones. Does the section describe the major steps and milestones needed to bring the product to where it will be commercially sold? Are the steps and milestones well-defined, appropriate, and realistic? Include significant intellectual property and regulatory milestones.
- Describe how you see the technology being licensed, such as via startup company or a license to a corporate entity. In either case, identify potential commercial partners and the level of interest those partners have in the technology, if any.
- The major risks of failure (beyond the proposed project, e.g., technology risk, market risk, etc.) should also be described along with the applicant's plans to manage and mitigate those risks.

#### 4. PROJECT DESCRIPTION, MILESTONES, AND DETAILED BUDGET/ JUSTIFICATION

- The purpose of this section is to provide detail on the milestones covered in the nine months of the grant and their significance to the commercialization path described in the Section 3 above. Proposed costs should be directly tied to project activities and milestones.
- Include a summary of the proposed project, milestones, and a clear timeline. The project timeline should not exceed nine months. Are the steps and milestones well-defined, appropriate, and realistic within nine months? Are they the correct ones to objectively and quantitatively demonstrate success in achieving the milestones over the nine-month time frame?
- Describe how each of the milestones leads to a clear demonstration or validation of the technology for the proposed commercial purpose and how it advances the technology along the commercialization pathway. Milestones must be **quantifiable and measurable** so it will be obvious when they have been successfully or unsuccessfully met. **Success metrics should be clearly defined.** Does reaching each milestone clearly demonstrate how it positions the technology development to move to the next significant milestone? Charts or graphs showing how the proposed scope of work and funding fits in the commercialization sequence described in Section 3 are often useful.
- A budget of the costs required to conduct the project should be provided. Is the proposed budget accurate and realistic for the time frame? Quantifying the amount of the proposed award to be used in each milestone of the proposed scope of work is helpful.
- **Patent expenses are NOT allowed**
- Non direct project expenses such as consultants should include specific deliverables and cost estimates.
- **All expenses should tie to specific deliverables and milestones.**
- A justification for significant project expenses (\$5,000+) should be provided.
- Please note that if the research plan is slated to be conducted during the regular academic year, you may not include faculty salary support. JHU Translational Funding Awards are made directly to the faculty recipients. Indirect costs are not covered and should not be included in the proposed budget.

## 5. LETTERS OF SUPPORT

- Letters of support are helpful but **NOT** required. Reviewers understand that external disclosures may be limited or nonexistent in order to protect intellectual property.
- Impactful letters of support validate provide external validation of key components the application.
- Useful letters of support:
  - Confirm the technology as impactful and leading edge
  - Validate a commercial market need
  - Indicate a willingness to assist, use, license or fund
  - Often reflect past interaction
  - Indicate an increased likelihood of commercial success as a result of the milestones funded
- Letters from potential vendors or suppliers are **not** helpful as they do nothing to validate key components of the application.
- **Letters of support are supplemental and are not subject to the five page application limit.**

## 6. OTHER

- Biographical sketches are **not** required and should **not** be included
- Footnotes are **not** required

## SAMPLE EXHIBITS

The exhibits below are for illustrative purposes only. Applicants should feel free to use other formats such as pictures and graphs. The key is to create exhibits that clearly and concisely convey information. Multiple examples are given, but only one is needed per section.

### ► COMMERCIAL MARKET ASSESSMENT

Our target market includes over 5,000 neurosurgical centers in the U.S. and 3,600 neurosurgeons. The incidence of XYZ is 1.1-20.5 per 100,000 people per year, or around 5,000-65,000 people per year in the US (US Census Bureau, 2018). We are targeting 20% of patients who require surgical intervention, and 5-25% of cases that require repeat surgery. Assuming that each center makes a capital purchase at \$175,000, we estimate a target addressable market of \$950M, with another \$170M per year for disposable kits (\$10,000 per case, assuming an average of 17,000 surgical cases per year) and \$225M for annual maintenance and upgrades (\$40,000 per device). If we focus on the 1,236 hospitals that treated at least 250 Medicare inpatients in 2014-2016 (US News and World Report, 2019), we estimate the serviceable addressable market for the capital purchase to be \$216M.

We hypothesize that customers would be willing to pay this price, as they are already purchasing other neurosurgical equipment at significantly higher costs, e.g. ABC's XYZ (\$280,000 per device, \$22,000 per disposable kit). We will continue our customer discovery to test this hypothesis and ensure that our device can fit into the customers' workflow and business models. If we gain early traction for our device, we anticipate that the demand will increase as the geriatric population continues to expand worldwide.

### ► COMPETITIVE ANALYSIS

	PRODUCT A	PRODUCT B	PRODUCT C	OUR PROTOTYPE
<b>Cost/test</b>	\$100.00	\$75.00	\$120.00	\$50.00
<b>Time/test (min)</b>	75	120	60	30
<b>Sensitivity</b>	89.00%	91.00%	90.00%	95.00%
<b>Specificity</b>	92.00%	90.00%	88.00%	97.00%
<b>Equipment cost</b>	\$15,000	\$12,000	\$25,000	\$10,000
<b>Equipment footprint (SF)</b>	9	10	12	4

► **COMMERCIALIZATION PATHWAY**


<b>PROOF-OF-CONCEPT</b>	<b>PROTOTYPE BUILD &amp; TEST</b>	<b>APPROVAL STUDIES</b>	<b>COMMERCIALIZATION</b>
Design	Integration	FDA pre sub meeting	
Fabrication	Assembly	Clinical trial	
Successful POC data	Test		
Report of Invention to JHTV	Safety		
Patent filing	Field test		
2018-2019	2020	2021	2022+

**Translational Funding**



<b>Proof of Concept</b>	<b>Prototype Build &amp; Test</b>	<b>Approval Studies</b>	<b>Commercialization</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Design</li> <li>• Fabrication</li> <li>• Patent Filing/Report of Invention</li> <li>• Successful POC data</li> </ul> <p><b>GRANT FUNDING</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Field Test</li> <li>• Safety</li> <li>• Integration</li> <li>• Assembly</li> </ul> <p><b>TRANSLATIONAL GRANT</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• FDA Pre-sub meeting</li> <li>• Clinical Trial</li> </ul> <p><b>SEED/SERIES A</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Launch Product</li> <li>• Market</li> </ul> <p><b>SERIES A/B</b></p>

<b>PROOF-OF-CONCEPT</b>	<b>PROTOTYPE BUILD &amp; TEST</b>	<b>APPROVAL STUDIES</b>	<b>COMMERCIALIZATION</b>
Experimental design	GLP manufacturing	Phase I Safety (X patients)	
Invitro studies	Pharmacology	Phase II Dose (X patients)	
Successful POC Data	Toxicity	Phase III Efficacy (X patients)	
Report of Invention to JHTV	IND preparation		
Patent filing	FDA pre sub meeting		
2018-2019	2020	2021-2021	2027+


**Translational Funding**



## ► PROJECT MILESTONES



PROJECT OBJECTIVE	MILESTONES	VALIDATION METRICS
Create MVP	Month 1:	Score >50
Validate infrastructure	Month 3:	Score >70
Evaluate	Month 9:	Score >80
Create dataset	Month 6: Data integration	
Algorithm	Month 2: Analytics Month 6: Validation	Accuracy >80
Outreach	Month 3: 20 Customer interviews Month 9: 40 Customer interviews	Potential adoption rate >50



## ► PROJECT BUDGET

CATEGORY	JUSTIFICATION	SUB TOTAL
Personnel	Postdoc fellow, 50% effort, 9 months Graduate student, 50% effort, 6 months Vet staff	\$35,000
Reagents and chemicals	Formulation supplies	\$10,000
Lab supplies	DNA kit, ELISA kit, histology assays	\$10,000
Animal studies	100 rats (4 groups, 10 timepoints), 5 pigs, Animal housing for 8 months	\$25,500
Regulatory consultant	Prepare for pre-FDA meeting, spend 20 hours working with consultant on FDA IND	\$7,000
<b>TOTAL COST</b>		<b>\$87,500</b>